

JAN. 11. 2006 1:58PM

5106630920

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NO. 417

P. 1

JAN 11 2006

BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
500 12th Street, Suite 200, Oakland, CA 94607
Telephone: (510) 663-1100 Facsimile: (510) 663-0920
www.beyerlaw.com

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

January 11, 2006

Receiver: Examiner B. Layno
USPTO

FAX #: 571-273-8300

Sender: Chereyce R. Brown, Patent Secretary to:
David P. Olynick

Our Ref. No.: IGT1P006C1R1/P-161A CON R1
Application No.: 10/817,625

Re: Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Pages Including Cover Sheet(s): 3

MESSAGE:

Please see attached.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The information contained in this facsimile (FAX) message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the receiver or firm named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended receiver, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this FAX is strictly prohibited. If you have received this FAX in error, please immediately notify the sender at the telephone number provided above and return the original message to the sender at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

JAN 11 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Hedrick et al.

Attorney Docket No.:

Application No.: 10/817,625

IGT1P006C1R1/P-161A CON R1

Filed: April 1, 2004

Examiner: B. Layno

Group: 3714

Title: GAMING MACHINE HAVING
SECONDARY DISPLAY FOR PROVIDING
VIDEO CONTENT**CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Attention: Examiner Layno at facsimile telephone number (571) 273-8300 on January 11, 2006.

Signed: 

Cheryl R. Brown

APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORMCommissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Tentative Participants:

- | | |
|------------------|----------------|
| 1) David Olynick | 2) Keith Moore |
| 3) | 4) |

Proposed Date of Interview: Jan. 18, 2006 Proposed Time: 10AM

Type of Interview Requested:

☐ Telephone

 ☒ Personal

 ☐ Video Conference
Exhibit to be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, provide brief description:

ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
1) Various rejections	All		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF AGRUMENTS TO BE PRESENTED:

New claim set in response to rejection related to claims in allowed in parent.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on

*Note: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 C.F.R. 1.33(b)) as soon as possible.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative)
Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY